UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,918	02/03/2006	Neil Walter Lindh Adcock	006422.00036	9961
28827 GABLE & GO	7590 12/10/200 ΓWALS	8	EXAMINER	
	TH STREET, 10TH FI	TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS		
TULSA, OK 74103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/566,918	LINDH ADCOCK	LINDH ADCOCK, NEIL WALTER			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		EDWARD TOLAN	3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this of the content o				
Status							
1) \	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u>	∆uaust 2008					
-		s action is non-final.					
3)	·—		prosecution as to th	a marite is			
J)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte gadyle, 1000 O.D. 11	, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>8 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
19/6	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·- · ·- ·	•				
		- · · ·	* *	ER 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	<u>-</u>						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,7,9 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venturini (6,949,100) in view of Reynolds (3,975,788). Venturini discloses a tapping screw (column 3, lines 3-6) that is applied to a product with a high torque. The tapping screw has symmetrical triangular threads with a thread angle (α) of 30 degrees (column 3, line 16). The tapping screw is conically shaped and is tapered toward its tip. The thread roots are radiussed to 0.2 mm (column 3, lines 26-27). Venturini discloses milled grooves (11,12). Venturini discloses flat milled surfaces (3) for connection to a rotating tool. It is inherent that application of Venturini to a metal object will result in a same threadform being produced as the 30 degree angle of a bone product. Venturini does not disclose that the thread crests are radiussed. Reynolds teaches a tapping bolt (40) having radiussed crests (42) and a chamfer (fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide radiussed crests to the tap of Venturini as taught by Reynolds in order to increase friction contact between a thread surface of the product and the tapping screw for increased locking ability.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venturini (6,949,100) in view of Reynolds (3,975,788) and further in view of Vilmanyi (5,725,336). Venturini in view of Reynolds does not disclose a chamfer angle. Vilmanyi teaches (column 2, lines 6-9) that it is known to have a chamfer angle of 6-8 degrees in a tap. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to chamfer the tap of Venturini in view of Reynolds to an angle as taught by Vilmanyi by taking cutting conditions into consideration, the choice of angle being depending upon material type.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venturini in view of Reynolds and further in view of Sawabe (5,797,710). Venturini discloses a pitch of 1.25mm. Sawabe teaches that it is known to provide a pitch of 1mm (column 3, line 36). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Venturini in view of Reynolds with a thread pitch of 1mm as taught by Sawabe in order to have a fine thread pitch.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant's crest and root curvature radius are not disclosed by the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Venturini is a tapping screw used in a high torque tapping operation. The bone tapped by Venturini is a product with a thread corresponding to the tapping screw. The tapping screw and bone are a male/female part and the tapping screw is movable in translation in relation to the bone.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

/Edward Tolan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725